

Message

---

**From:** Kraft, Andrew [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=4A94A4F199B247778ABB02285A51B927-KRAFT, ANDREW]  
**Sent:** 5/3/2018 5:12:19 PM  
**To:** Rieth, Susan [Rieth.Susan@epa.gov]  
**CC:** Sasso, Alan [Sasso.Alan@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: table to document modeling-related decisions  
**Attachments:** HCHOPODderivation.docx

Sorry, Sue, I thought I had responded to you. Here is the table from formaldehyde.

---

**From:** Rieth, Susan  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 03, 2018 12:16 PM  
**To:** Kraft, Andrew <Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Sasso, Alan <Sasso.Alan@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: table to document modeling-related decisions

Hi Andrew,

I know you're swamped, but nonetheless checking back on my question below. I heard you had some handy-dandy table (or documentation of some sort) that might be a good model for documenting decisions related to selection of datasets for dose-response analysis. Not sure how else to describe this, but I'm trying to work up something for the GTIC, and thought you might have a good example to start with.

Alan – since you sent me to Andrew, let me know if there's a better way to describe. And feel free to shoot me an example since Christine sent me to you 'cause she thought you had a good example as well.

Thanks all!  
Sue

---

**From:** Rieth, Susan  
**Sent:** Friday, April 20, 2018 12:35 PM  
**To:** Kraft, Andrew <Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Sasso, Alan <Sasso.Alan@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** table to document modeling-related decisions

Hi Andrew,

based on a recent experience in the GTIC, I'd like to develop a table (or another format if that works better) to capture relevant information/decisions that come of GTIC discussions of endpoints/studies/data sets to use for dose-response analysis. For example, I'd like the WG to have a framework that promotes a more systematic approach to evaluation (and documentation) of which endpoints we considered appropriate measures of toxicity for each hazard, which studies and specific data sets should be considered for D-R modeling (and why other studies/data sets were not selected), etc.... I'm hoping a table/spreadsheet could promote that consistency and make it easier to generate the text in Sections 1.3 and chapter 2. I chatted some with Christine and Alan about this over the past couple of days, and Alan said you've developed something (for formaldehyde?) that worked. I'm not clear if this is a working document or something included in the assessment itself. Not sure I'm describing it very well; perhaps Alan can do a better job. Anyway, if this makes any sense, would you be willing to share what you've worked up, and we might use it as a model/starting point for the GTIC.

Thanks for anything you might be able to direct me to!

Sue